

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/697,703	BOSCH ET AL.	
	Examiner Tristan J. Mahyera	Art Unit 1609	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Tristan J. Mahyera.

(3) Yang Tang.

(2) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 August 2007

Time: 4:45pm EST

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: 1)Species Election #5 - Examiner asked Applicant to elect a species for non-nimesulide agents and not a genus as was stated in the Office Action mailed January 9, 2007. See page 5 top line "5) one or more non-nimesulide agents (i.e. aceclofenac)"

2)Examiner further asked Applicant to identify claims encompassing the elected invention. See Office Action mailed January 9, 2007 page 5 line 13 "(ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

3)Examiner and Applicant agreed that Examiner would wait three days for a response from Applicant then a non-responsive action will be sent .